

Urinary incontinence during pregnancy and postpartum pelvic floor muscle exercise: a prospective study

Siu Chung WONG, MBBS, MRCOG, MHKCOG

Yun Ting LEE, MBChB, MRCOG, MHKCOG, FHKCOG, FHKAM (O&G)

Lai Fong HO, MSc(Nursing), Midwife Consultant

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Princess Margaret Hospital, Hong Kong SAR, China

Objectives: To investigate the incidence of urinary incontinence (UI) during pregnancy and after delivery, perceptions of UI, effectiveness of pelvic floor muscle exercise (PFME) on UI, and risk factors for UI among pregnant women.

Methods: Chinese women aged ≥ 18 years at 35 to 37 weeks of gestation were invited to participate. Perceptions of UI were assessed using a questionnaire that comprises seven statements. Urinary symptoms were assessed using the self-report six-item Urogenital Distress Inventory (UDI-6). Women were considered to have UI when they had positive scores on any of the incontinence items. Women who reported to have UI symptoms were assessed by a physiotherapist in the postnatal ward and were taught PFME. UI impact on quality of life was assessed using the self-report seven-item Incontinence Impact Questionnaire. Participants with UI during pregnancy who delivered in our hospital were followed up at 6 weeks postnatally through telephone. Their adherence to PFME was assessed in terms of the mean number of contractions performed per day.

Results: Of 1134 participants, the incidence of UI was 73.0% during pregnancy and 21.9% after delivery. Predictors for UI during pregnancy were a history of UI before pregnancy (odds ratio [OR]=14.40, $p < 0.001$), higher pre-pregnancy body mass index (OR=1.04, $p = 0.034$), and previous vaginal delivery (OR=2.06, $p = 0.001$), whereas predictors for UI after delivery were vaginal delivery in the index pregnancy (OR=3.86, $p < 0.001$), older age (OR=1.12, $p < 0.001$), a history of UI before pregnancy (OR=1.86, $p = 0.028$), and total score of items 2 to 4 on the UDI-6 during pregnancy (OR=1.20, $p = 0.015$). 86.4% of participants reported poor or no adherence to PFME. Adherence to postnatal PFME was not associated with UI after delivery ($p = 0.477$). Women with higher education levels adhered more to PFME ($p = 0.008$). Perceptions of UI were not associated with adherence to postnatal PFME.

Conclusion: A history of pre-pregnancy UI is the main predictor for UI during pregnancy, whereas vaginal delivery is the main predictor for UI after delivery. The effect of postpartum PFME on UI after delivery is not significant, probably owing to the low rate of adherence to PFME.

Keywords: Pelvic floor; Pregnant women; Urinary incontinence

Introduction

The incidence of urinary incontinence (UI) among pregnant women ranges from 32% to 64%¹. UI can be caused by hormonal changes, increased abdominal pressure or weight gain and can lead to decreased quality of life (QoL), embarrassment, depression, and social isolation². UI during pregnancy is underreported and undertreated³. In a study of Hong Kong Chinese women, 78.3% of respondents were not aware of UI being a disease entity⁴. Only 14.8% of pregnant women sought professional help for urinary symptoms⁵. Screening for UI is not routinely performed during antenatal care.

Pelvic floor muscle exercise (PFME) is effective in reducing the incidence of UI during pregnancy⁶. However, its effects in the postnatal period yield conflicting results⁷⁻⁹. This study aimed to investigate the incidence of UI during pregnancy and after delivery, perceptions of

UI, effectiveness of PFME on UI, and risk factors for UI among pregnant women.

Materials and methods

This prospective longitudinal observational study was carried out in the Princess Margaret Hospital in Hong Kong from June 2021 to April 2022. Chinese women aged ≥ 18 years who attended the antenatal group B streptococcus screening clinic at 35 to 37 weeks of gestation were invited to participate. Women who did not understand written Chinese were excluded.

Perceptions of UI were assessed using a questionnaire that comprises seven statements measured on a 4-point Likert scale (totally disagree, disagree, agree, totally agree).

Correspondence to: Dr Siu Chung WONG

Email: jackiejpwsc@gmail.com

totally agree). The questionnaire had been validated by nine obstetricians and gynaecologists in our hospital; both the item-level and scale-level content validity indices were 1.0, which meets Lynn's criteria¹⁰. Urinary symptoms were assessed using the self-report six-item Urogenital Distress Inventory (UDI-6). Women were considered to have UI when they had positive scores on any of the incontinence items, including leakage related to feeling of urgency (item 2), leakage related to activity (item 3), or coughing/sneezing small amounts of leakage (item 4). Women who reported to have UI symptoms were assessed by a physiotherapist in the postnatal ward and were taught PFME. UI impact on QoL was assessed using the self-report seven-item Incontinence Impact Questionnaire (IIQ-7). Both UDI-6 and IIQ-7 have been validated¹¹, and their Chinese versions have been validated in the Chinese population¹².

Participants with UI during pregnancy who delivered in our hospital were followed up at 6 weeks postnatally through telephone; they were asked to complete the UDI-6 and IIQ-7 again to assess any change in urinary symptoms and the impact on QoL. In addition, their adherence to PFME was assessed in terms of the mean number of contractions performed per day. The cut-off for high adherence to PFME was ≥ 60 contractions per day (≥ 420 contractions per week), based on a study that showed good results with 45 to 60 contractions per day¹³. Moderate adherence was defined as 210 to 419 contractions per week, and poor adherence was defined as < 210 contractions per week.

The statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 27. Women with or without UI during pregnancy and after delivery were compared using the Chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables and the Student's *t* tests or Mann-Whitney *U* test for continuous variables. Predictors for UI during pregnancy and after

delivery were identified using multiple logistic regression with forward stepwise selection. Women with high, moderate, poor, or no adherence were compared using the one-way analysis of variance. A *p* value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Of 1134 participants aged 18 to 46 (mean, 32.5) years who completed the questionnaire, 826 reported UI, 306 reported no UI, and two had missing values on the UDI-6. Of 580 participants with UI during pregnancy who delivered in our hospital, 470 (81%) completed the follow-up questionnaire at a mean of 57 (range, 36-96) days after delivery.

With regard to perceptions of UI, 94.8% of participants agreed or totally agreed that 'PFME can treat UI effectively'; 79.2% agreed or totally agreed that 'severity of UI increases with age'; 74.8% agreed or totally agreed that 'pregnancy causes UI'; 74.4% agreed or totally agreed that 'UI is a physiological change of ageing'; 56.8% agreed or totally agreed that 'UI is a disease entity'; 51.1% agreed or totally agreed that 'UI resolves after delivery'; and 17.8% agreed or totally agreed that 'UI is not curable' (Table 1). Perceptions of UI were not associated with adherence to postnatal PFME.

The incidence of UI during pregnancy was 73.0% (826/1132). Compared with women with no UI during pregnancy, women with UI during pregnancy tended to have higher pre-pregnancy body mass index (22.27 vs 21.55 kg/m², $p=0.033$), a history of UI before pregnancy (21.1% vs 1.6%, $p<0.001$), higher number of parities (1 vs 0, $p=0.015$), higher number of vaginal deliveries (0.56 vs 0.35, $p<0.001$), higher UDI-6 score (26.3 vs 8.99, $p<0.001$), and higher IIQ-7 score (9.21 vs 3.66, $p<0.001$) and subscale scores ($p<0.001$) [Table 2].

Table 1. Women's perceptions of urinary incontinence (UI) [n=1134]

	No. (%) of participants			
	Totally disagree	Disagree	Agree	Totally agree
Q1: UI is a disease entity	42 (3.7)	448 (39.5)	547 (48.2)	97 (8.6)
Q2: Pregnancy causes UI	14 (1.2)	272 (24.0)	785 (69.2)	63 (5.6)
Q3: UI resolves after delivery	30 (2.6)	524 (46.2)	532 (46.9)	48 (4.2)
Q4: Pelvic floor muscle exercise can treat UI effectively	6 (0.5)	53 (4.7)	872 (76.9)	203 (17.9)
Q5: UI is a physiological change of ageing	14 (1.2)	276 (24.3)	725 (63.9)	119 (10.5)
Q6: Severity of UI increases with age	13 (1.1)	223 (19.7)	762 (67.2)	136 (12.0)
Q7: UI is not curable	98 (8.6)	834 (73.5)	174 (15.3)	28 (2.5)

The incidence of UI after delivery was 21.9% (103/470). Compared with women with no UI after delivery, women with UI after delivery tended to be older (33.80 vs 31.98 years, $p=0.002$), have a history of UI before pregnancy (32% vs 21.3%, $p=0.023$), have an episiotomy (53.4% vs 36.5%, $p=0.003$), and have normal vaginal delivery (73.8% vs 67.8%, $p=0.001$) and assisted vaginal delivery (17.5% vs 9.3%, $p=0.001$) [Table 2].

In multiple logistic regression, predictors for UI during pregnancy were a history of UI before pregnancy (odds ratio [OR]=14.40, $p<0.001$), higher pre-pregnancy body mass index (OR=1.04, $p=0.034$), and previous vaginal delivery (OR=2.06, $p=0.001$), whereas predictors for UI after delivery were vaginal delivery in the index pregnancy (OR=3.86, $p<0.001$), older age (OR=1.12, $p<0.001$), a history of UI before pregnancy (OR=1.86, $p=0.028$), and

Table 2. Comparisons of women with or without urinary incontinence (UI) during pregnancy (n=1132) and after delivery (n=470)

	UI during pregnancy*		p Value	UI after delivery*		p Value
	No (n=306)	Yes (n=826)		No (n=367)	Yes (n=103)	
Age, y	32.33±4.64	32.60±5.02	0.402	31.98±5.06	33.80±5.32	0.002
Pre-pregnancy body mass index, kg/m ²	21.55±3.06	22.27±3.99	0.033	22.41±4.07	22.79±3.95	0.398
Employed	155 (50.7)	439 (53.1)	0.455	183 (49.9)	48 (46.6)	0.558
Education level			0.703			0.657
Primary	5 (1.6)	14 (1.7)		8 (2.2)	1 (1.0)	
Secondary	142 (46.4)	406 (49.2)		202 (55.0)	60 (58.3)	
Tertiary	159 (52.0)	406 (49.2)		157 (42.8)	42 (40.8)	
Smoking	35 (11.4)	103 (12.5)	0.890	57 (15.6)	12 (11.7)	0.512
Ex-smoker	30 (9.8)	89 (10.8)		48 (13.1)	11 (10.7)	
Active smoker	5 (1.6)	14 (1.7)		9 (2.5)	1 (1.0)	
History of UI before pregnancy	5 (1.6)	174 (21.1)	<0.001	78 (21.3)	33 (32.0)	0.023
Parity	0 (0-4)	1 (0-4)	0.015	1 (0-4)	1 (0-2)	0.183
Multiple pregnancy	5 (1.6)	16 (1.9)	0.737	8 (2.2)	1 (1.0)	0.691
Nulliparous	181 (59.2)	399 (48.3)	0.001	-	-	-
No. of vaginal deliveries	0.35±0.61	0.56±0.76	<0.001	-	-	-
Diabetes mellitus/gestational diabetes mellitus	57 (18.6)	127 (15.4)	0.188	-	-	-
Gestation at delivery, wk	-	-	-	38.65±1.22	38.77±1.25	0.375
Birth weight, g	-	-	-	3155±422	3138±423	0.713
Mode of delivery						0.001
Normal vaginal delivery	-	-	-	249 (67.8)	76 (73.8)	
Assisted vaginal delivery	-	-	-	34 (9.3)	18 (17.5)	
Caesarean section	-	-	-	84 (22.9)	9 (8.7)	
Episiotomy	-	-	-	134 (36.5)	55 (53.4)	0.003
Shoulder dystocia	-	-	-	3 (0.8)	0	1.000
Obstetric anal sphincter injury	-	-	-	2 (0.5)	0	1.000
Urogenital Distress Inventory score	8.99±6.66	26.30±13.78	<0.001	0.29±1.59	10.68±7.45	<0.001
Incontinence Impact Questionnaire score	3.66±11.57	9.21±15.57	<0.001	0.08±1.49	1.85±5.82	<0.001
Physical subscale	3.67±12.01	8.95±16.72	<0.001	0	0.65±3.24	<0.001
Travel subscale	3.62±12.30	8.34±16.38	<0.001	0	1.46±6.64	<0.001
Social subscale	3.39±12.74	8.89±18.41	<0.001	0	0.97±5.63	<0.001
Emotional subscale	3.84±14.16	10.48±19.44	<0.001	0.27±5.22	3.88±15.16	<0.001

* Data are presented as mean±standard deviation, No. (%) of participants, or median (range)

total score of items 2 to 4 on the UDI-6 during pregnancy (OR=1.20, $p=0.015$) [Table 3].

With regard to adherence to postnatal PFME, 4.3% of participants reported high adherence, 9.4% reported moderate adherence, and 86.4% reported poor or no adherence. Adherence to postnatal PFME was not associated with UI after delivery ($p=0.477$, Table 4). Improvement in the UDI-6 score was highest (but not

significantly) in women with high adherence ($p=0.396$). Women with higher education levels adhered more to PFME ($p=0.008$).

Discussion

In the present study, the incidence of UI during pregnancy was 73.0%, which was higher than the 40% to 68.8% reported in other studies¹⁴⁻¹⁶ and similar to the 73.2% in a cross-sectional study¹⁷. The higher incidence of

Table 3. Predictors for urinary incontinence (UI) during pregnancy and after delivery

Variables	Odds ratio (95% confidence interval)	p Value
UI during pregnancy		
History of UI before pregnancy	14.40 (5.82-35.62)	<0.001
Pre-pregnancy body mass index	1.04 (1.00-1.09)	0.034
Previous vaginal delivery	2.06 (1.34-3.17)	0.001
UI after delivery		
Age	1.12 (1.06-1.17)	<0.001
History of UI before pregnancy	1.86 (1.07-3.24)	0.028
Vaginal delivery	3.86 (1.81-8.23)	<0.001
Total score of items 2 to 4 on the six-item Urogenital Distress Inventory during pregnancy*	1.20 (1.04-1.40)	0.015

* Item 2: leakage related to feeling of urgency; item 3: leakage related to activity; item 4: coughing/sneezing small amounts of leakage

Table 4. Adherence to pelvic floor muscle exercise after delivery (n=470)

Characteristic	Adherence to pelvic floor muscle exercise				p Value
	No (n=135)	Poor (n=271)	Moderate (n=44)	High (n=20)	
Age, y	32.29±5.61	32.40±5.05	32.55±4.31	32.40±5.80	0.999
Pre-pregnancy body mass index, kg/m ²	21.74±3.96	22.96±3.95	21.95±4.64	22.47±3.86	0.027
Employed	56 (41.5)	142 (52.4)	21 (47.7)	12 (60.0)	0.151
Education level					0.008
Primary	5 (3.7)	4 (1.5)	0	0	
Secondary	90 (66.7)	144 (53.1)	19 (43.2)	9 (45.0)	
Tertiary	40 (29.6)	123 (45.4)	25 (56.8)	11 (55.0)	
Days from delivery to follow-up	56.78±8.41	57.46±10.11	58.09±8.90	53.55±6.53	0.277
Urinary incontinence after delivery	25 (18.5)	63 (23.2)	12 (27.3)	3 (15.0)	0.477
Change in six-item Urogenital Distress Inventory score	-23.58±15.90	-24.31±14.25	-25.38±13.68	-29.44±14.54	0.396
Change in seven-item Incontinence Impact Questionnaire score					
Physical subscale	-7.62±14.17	-8.52±16.53	-10.39±16.95	-11.90±18.05	0.590
Travel subscale	-8.77±16.77	-8.61±17.56	-10.23±16.16	-12.50±19.40	0.752
Social subscale	-7.16±14.76	-8.18±18.08	-9.47±19.15	-9.17±16.64	0.860
Emotional subscale	-7.65±18.18	-8.49±19.61	-9.09±19.51	-15.00±27.52	0.479
Emotional subscale	-6.91±20.20	-8.79±20.53	-12.12±19.48	-12.50±21.54	0.396

UI may be the result of a selection bias, whereby women experiencing UI symptoms were more likely to participate in the study. Moreover, UI tends to worsen as pregnancy progresses and as the weight of the uterus increases¹⁸. Our participants were recruited during late pregnancy; this could result in the higher incidence of UI.

94.8% of the participants agreed that PFME can treat UI effectively, and 82.2% of participants agreed that UI can be cured. These findings indicated that most women were knowledgeable about the PFME to alleviate their UI symptoms. 51.1% of participants agreed that UI symptoms will resolve spontaneously after delivery; they tended to adhere less to postnatal PFME. 56.8% of the participants agreed that UI is a disease entity; this may explain the lack of help-seeking behaviour for UI symptoms in pregnancy⁴.

UI during pregnancy was more common in multiparous than in nulliparous women. This could be due to pelvic structural changes after delivery. A higher number of previous vaginal deliveries was associated with UI during pregnancy. Vaginal delivery is a predictor for postpartum UI¹⁹ and increases the risk of pelvic floor dysfunction secondary to damages to pelvic innervation and laceration of the pelvic musculature²⁰. Instrumental delivery can result in more laceration and mechanical stress and thus further increases the risk of pelvic floor dysfunction²¹.

A history of UI before pregnancy was a strong predictor for UI during pregnancy and after delivery. It is associated with both antepartum and postpartum UI^{5,7,14}. Women with an episiotomy were associated with UI after delivery. A systemic review on the long-term effects of episiotomy concluded that episiotomy is not protective against UI symptoms²². This may be confounded by vaginal delivery, which is a risk factor for postpartum UI. The use of an episiotomy reflects the anticipation of a difficult delivery, which is also a risk factor for postpartum UI. In our study, multiple logistic regression analysis showed no significant association between episiotomy and postpartum UI. A higher total score of items 2 to 4 on the UDI-6 during pregnancy was associated with postpartum UI, consistent with a study⁷.

Understanding the risk factors for UI helps in antenatal counselling and may increase women's adherence to PFME. Targeted interventions may be offered to high-risk women. Healthcare professionals can implement early intervention and prevention strategies such as PFME and healthy bladder habits for high-risk women.

In our study, postpartum PFME did not significantly reduce the incidence of postpartum UI or improve UI symptoms or QoL. This could be due to a lack of regular supervised instruction. Supervised PFME is more effective than unsupervised PFME²³, and intensive training with close follow-up is more likely to achieve beneficial effects²⁴. Despite good adherence to PFME, women may inadvertently perform PFME incorrectly. Consistent input from healthcare professionals and close follow-up may help women to achieve effective PFME. Furthermore, the training period of 6 weeks (median, 56 days) may be too short to strengthen the pelvic floor muscles. Supervised training protocol lasting at least 8 weeks is recommended for effective PFME⁶.

Although the effect of postpartum PFME was not significant, improvements in UDI-6 and IIQ-7 scores were associated with higher adherence to PFME. Only 13.7% of participants moderately or highly adhered to PFME; this may be due to inadequate promotion by medical staff²⁵. Regular follow-up or training sessions can remind the participants of the importance of adherence to PFME. The proportion of pregnant women adhere to PFME increases from 5.8% to 37.2% after two sessions of education classes²⁵. Physiotherapists may customise the PFME programme for each woman and integrate PFME into women's daily routines, particularly for women with lower education levels, which was associated with lower adherence.

There were limitations to this study. The study was not randomised or controlled owing to resource constraints and ethical concerns. There may be selection bias, as pregnant women with UI are more likely to participate in the study. Follow-up at 6 weeks after delivery may be too short to observe the effects of PFME. The lack of interval follow-ups or training sessions may result in the poor adherence to PFME.

Conclusion

A history of pre-pregnancy UI is the main predictor for UI during pregnancy, whereas vaginal delivery is the main predictor for UI after delivery. The effect of postpartum PFME on UI after delivery is not significant, probably owing to the low rate of adherence to PFME.

Contributors

SCW and YTL designed the study. SCW and LFH acquired the data. SCW analysed the data and drafted the manuscript. All authors critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors had full

access to the data, contributed to the study, approved the final version for publication, and take responsibility for its accuracy and integrity.

Conflicts of interest

All authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Funding and support

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

References

- Sangsawang B, Sangsawang N. Stress urinary incontinence in pregnant women: a review of prevalence, pathophysiology, and treatment. *Int Urogynecol J* 2013;24:901-12. [Crossref](#)
- Corrado B, Giardulli B, Polito F, Aprea S, Lanzano M, Dodaro C. The impact of urinary incontinence on quality of life: a cross-sectional study in the metropolitan city of Naples. *Geriatrics (Basel)* 2020;5:96. [Crossref](#)
- Glazener CM, Herbison GP, MacArthur C, Grant A, Wilson PD. Randomised controlled trial of conservative management of postnatal urinary and faecal incontinence: six year follow up. *BMJ* 2005;330:337. [Crossref](#)
- Wong T, Lau BY, Mak HL, Pang MW, Cheon C, Yip SK. Changing prevalence and knowledge of urinary incontinence among Hong Kong Chinese women. *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct* 2006;17:593-7. [Crossref](#)
- Wang X, Jin Y, Xu P, Feng S. Urinary incontinence in pregnant women and its impact on health-related quality of life. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2022;20:13. [Crossref](#)
- Mørkved S, Bø K. Effect of pelvic floor muscle training during pregnancy and after childbirth on prevention and treatment of urinary incontinence: a systematic review. *Br J Sports Med* 2014;48:299-310. [Crossref](#)
- Rajavuori A, Repo JP, Häkkinen A, Palonen P, Multanen J, Aukee P. Maternal risk factors of urinary incontinence during pregnancy and postpartum: a prospective cohort study. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol X* 2021;13:100138. [Crossref](#)
- Woodley SJ, Lawrenson P, Boyle R, et al. Pelvic floor muscle training for preventing and treating urinary and faecal incontinence in antenatal and postnatal women. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2020;5:CD007471. [Crossref](#)
- Hilde G, Stær-Jensen J, Siafarikas F, Ellström Engh M, Bø K. Postpartum pelvic floor muscle training and urinary incontinence: a randomized controlled trial. *Obstet Gynecol* 2013;122:1231-8. [Crossref](#)
- Lynn MR. Determination and quantification of content validity. *Nurs Res* 1986;35:382-5. [Crossref](#)
- Uebersax JS, Wyman JF, Shumaker SA, McClish DK, Fantl JA. Short forms to assess life quality and symptom distress for urinary incontinence in women: the Incontinence Impact Questionnaire and the Urogenital Distress Inventory. Continence Program for Women Research Group. *Neurourol Urodyn* 1995;14:131-9. [Crossref](#)
- Chan SS, Choy KW, Lee BP, et al. Chinese validation of Urogenital Distress Inventory and Incontinence Impact Questionnaire short form. *Int Urogynecol J* 2010;21:807-12. [Crossref](#)
- Cho ST, Kim KH. Pelvic floor muscle exercise and training for coping with urinary incontinence. *J Exerc Rehabil* 2021;17:379-87. [Crossref](#)
- Wesnes SL, Rortveit G, Bø K, Hunskaar S. Urinary incontinence during pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol* 2007;109:922-8. [Crossref](#)
- Jaffar A, Mohd-Sidik S, Nien FC, Fu GQ, Talib NH. Urinary incontinence and its association with pelvic floor muscle exercise among pregnant women attending a primary care clinic in Selangor, Malaysia. *PLoS One* 2020;15:e0236140. [Crossref](#)
- Cerruto MA, D'Elia C, Aloisi A, Fabrello M, Artibani W. Prevalence, incidence and obstetric factors' impact on female urinary incontinence in Europe: a systematic review. *Urol Int* 2013;90:1-9. [Crossref](#)
- Nzinga Luzolo AM, Dilu Mabiala E, Bilo Mbaki I, Ngerenza Kibimbi P, Bope Matshinga N, Kasonga RS. Epidemiological profile and attitudes of pregnant women toward urinary incontinence: a single-center cross-sectional study. *Int Urogynecol J* 2024;35:521-6. [Crossref](#)
- Hvidman L, Hvidman L, Foldspang A, Mommsen S, Bugge Nielsen J. Correlates of urinary incontinence in pregnancy. *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct* 2002;13:278-83. [Crossref](#)
- Chan SS, Cheung RY, Yiu KW, Lee LL, Chung TK. Prevalence of urinary and fecal incontinence in Chinese women during and after their first pregnancy. *Int Urogynecol J* 2013;24:1473-9. [Crossref](#)
- Blomquist JL, Muñoz A, Carroll M, Handa VL. Association of delivery mode with pelvic floor disorders after childbirth. *JAMA* 2018;320:2438-47. [Crossref](#)

Data availability

All data generated or analysed during the present study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval

The study was approved by the Kowloon West Cluster Research Ethics Committee (reference: EX-20-107(150-01)). The patients were treated in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. The patients provided written informed consent for all treatments and procedures and for publication.

21. Tähtinen RM, Cartwright R, Vernooij RWM, et al. Long-term risks of stress and urgency urinary incontinence after different vaginal delivery modes. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2019;220:181.e1-181.e8. [Crossref](#)
22. Frigerio M, Mastrolia SA, Spelzini F, Manodoro S, Yohay D, Weintraub AY. Long-term effects of episiotomy on urinary incontinence and pelvic organ prolapse: a systematic review. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 2019;299:317-25. [Crossref](#)
23. Hay-Smith EJ, Herderschee R, Dumoulin C, Herbison GP. Comparisons of approaches to pelvic floor muscle training for urinary incontinence in women. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2011;12:CD009508. [Crossref](#)
24. Bø K. Does pelvic floor muscle training prevent and treat urinary and fecal incontinence in pregnancy? *Nat Clin Pract Urol* 2009;6:122-3. [Crossref](#)
25. Muhammad J, Muhamad R, Husain NRN, Daud N. pelvic floor muscle exercise education and factors associated with implementation among antenatal women in Hospital University Sains Malaysia. *Korean J Fam Med* 2019;40:45-52. [Crossref](#)